

Interview Correspondence Attachment A

Pond, Robert

From: Pond, Robert
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 2:17 PM
To: 'jbl@townsend.com'
Subject: Test loop: 09/483388:
Importance: High

Please return. Thanks.

From: Pond, Robert
Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 3:20 PM
To: 'jbl@townsend.com'
Subject: 09/483388: Requesting draft claim 45
Importance: High

Mr. Lohr,

Thanks for returning my call earlier. Please send me a draft of at least claim 45 (or all independents) that clarifies the entity issues. The other independent should reflect the same. The wherein clause on the back refers to "an entity" and "provider" as being different from each other and we have a user, a marketing person and implied "others" doing the associating (technical/administrator) and the non-technical (marketing person) doing the selecting. It needs to be clear to me as to who is doing what: associating, selecting, etc. Leave the workspace aspects in the claims but you can change "marketing person" to "entity" to be consistent with the wherein clause and provide antecedent basis.

Prior art D'Arlich mentions dynamic updating but doesn't address dynamicism in the context of timing and priority as this case so let's move this forward. I need to complete the full 705/26-27 non-patent literature search (already completed the inventor search) and complete the patent database search on the dynamic binding used by the Applicants plus final forward/backward citations on Bernardo and D'Arlich.

Rob Pond
571-272-6760